

RESPONSIBLE AGENCY CEQA REVIEW FORM

Form Revised: January 2026

This form shall be completed when the California Geologic Energy Management Division (CalGEM) acts as a responsible agency (RA) pursuant to Pub. Res. Code, Section 21166 and Cal. Code of Reg., Title 14, Sections 15162, 15163, and 15164, and reviews a previously adopted or certified environmental document. Refer to the CEQA Program Responsible Agency Review Standard Operating Procedure, revised on **January 2026**, for a description of requirements and procedures.

Instruction is in blue text. Example language is in green text.

****Remove "DRAFT" watermark and delete all instructional and example language, and this sentence, prior to submitting for first review. Retain only the text that applies to the Proposed Permit Activity.****

PROPOSED PERMIT ACTIVITY¹ INFORMATION

| | | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|---|
| Proposed Permit Applicant | Operator Name | | | | |
| | Mailing Address | | | | |
| | Contact, Job Title | | | | |
| | Email | | | | |
| | Phone Number | | | | |
| Proposed Permit Activity Title | | | | | |
| Proposed Permit Activity Location | Name of Oil Field, if any | | | | |
| | Section: xx | Township: xx | Range/ Baseline: xx / xx | | |
| Proposed Permit Activity Information Summary | County | | | | |
| | CalGEM District | | | | |
| | Jurisdiction | <input type="checkbox"/> Private <input type="checkbox"/> Surface <input type="checkbox"/> Mineral | <input type="checkbox"/> State <input type="checkbox"/> Surface <input type="checkbox"/> Mineral | <input type="checkbox"/> Federal <input type="checkbox"/> Surface <input type="checkbox"/> Mineral | <input type="checkbox"/> Tribal <input type="checkbox"/> Surface <input type="checkbox"/> Mineral |
| | Description of Proposed Permit Activity and/or Application Type | <p>Location. Proposed permit activity type (Project by Project Review (PxP), Waterflood, Disposal, Cyclic Steam, etc.) If this is an application, include the Application Type (New Project, Modify Project, Merge Projects, Transfer Projects, Conversion.)</p> <p>Using the Project Description, summarize the proposed permit activity approved by CalGEM through Notice(s) of Intention (NOI), provide a statement of the proposed permit activity objectives, how the activities are within the scope of the environmental document, and the rationale for how the activities are consistent with the existing local</p> | | | |

¹ "Permit Activity" or "Proposed Permit Activity" refers to the activity described in the Notice of Intention (NOI) or Application for which CalGEM is currently considering permit approval.

| | | | | | |
|---|---|--|---|-----------------------------------|--|
| | zoning characterization of the activity(s). Describe the environmental setting and any potential impacts the proposed permit activity would have on the environment and/or surrounding community. Identify other necessary activities related to the proposed development, exploration, and/or construction activities. | | | | |
| Proposed Project Type (Check all that apply) | <input type="checkbox"/> New Drill <input type="checkbox"/> O&G <input type="checkbox"/> P&A <input type="checkbox"/> Rework or Redrill | <input type="checkbox"/> UIC <input type="checkbox"/> PxP <input type="checkbox"/> UGS | <input type="checkbox"/> GEO Exploratory <input type="checkbox"/> GEO Field Development <input type="checkbox"/> GEO Non-Commercial Low Temp <input type="checkbox"/> GEO Commercial Low Temp <input type="checkbox"/> GEO Non-Commercial High Temp | | |
| Quantity of Wells | <input type="checkbox"/> Production # | <input type="checkbox"/> Injection # | <input type="checkbox"/> UGS # | <input type="checkbox"/> GEO # | <input type="checkbox"/> Disposal # |
| UIC UGS Project Code | UIC or UGS xxxxxxxx | | | | |
| Was the proposed permit activity's description submitted by the applicant adequate and complete? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No Explain here Yes. For a rework project with no sidetrack or deepening and no casing modification, on an existing pad with existing roads for access and no disturbance, the NOI's description is adequate and complete. | | | | | |

| Complete for New Drill Wells Only | |
|---|---|
| Summary of the Number of New Wells in the Project | Complete Table 1. "New Drill Well Number Worksheet" In Attachment 1, then answer the following questions (1-3): |
| | 1. Does the Lead Agency (LA) environmental document define the number of wells for the approved project covered by the document? [Attachment 1. Table 1. Line 2.] <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No Explain here 1a. Are the number of wells defined by the type of wells? (e.g., oil and gas well, UIC well, observation well, geothermal well, etc.)? [Attachment 1. Table 1. Line 2.] <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No Explain here |
| | 2. Is the number of wells in the proposed permit activity (plus any other wells permitted to date under the document) equal to or less than the number of wells covered by the LA environmental document; or does the number of wells in the proposed permit activity, combined with previously permitted wells under the document, exceed the number of wells covered by the LA environmental document? [Attachment 1. Table 1. Line 4.] <input type="checkbox"/> Equal to or less than <input type="checkbox"/> They exceed the total number of wells Explain here, include any permits (name and issue date) that have been issued previously and check the well counter table (CEQA DOC Well Count Tracker.xlsx) Note: If the totals exceed the total number of wells in the Lead Agency's environmental document, the document may not fully cover the proposed permit activity. |

| | |
|--|---|
| | <p>3. Is the number of each type of well in the proposed NOI(s) (plus any other wells permitted to date under the document) equal to, or less than, the number of each type of well covered by the LA environmental document; or does the number exceed the number of each type of well covered by the LA environmental document? [Attachment 1. Table 1. Line 4.]</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Equal to or less than <input type="checkbox"/> They exceed the total number of wells</p> <p>Explain here</p> <p>Note: If any of the totals of each type of well exceeds the total number of each type of well covered in the Lead Agency's environmental document, the document may not fully cover the proposed activity.</p> |
|--|---|

*Lat/Long in NAD 83, Decimal Degrees

| Well Details | | | |
|---|----------------------------|-----------|-------------|
| NOIs: Enter individual well details below. | | | |
| UIC Projects: See Attachment 2, fill out Table 1 "UIC Well Information". | | | |
| Note: Option to refer to attachment (file name in administrative record) in lieu of filling out tables. | | | |
| WellSTAR Form ID # OR Geothermal Well Identifier | Well API (N/A if New Well) | Well Name | LAT*, LONG* |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

| CEQA DOCUMENT APPROVED PROJECT ² INFORMATION | |
|--|--|
| <p>Fill in proposed permit activity related information. Replace/ remove blue and green text in this section.</p> <p>The approved project, disclosed in the certified environmental document consists of [e.g.; The Project consists of an Unclassified Conditional Use Permit (CUP) No. 3533 to allow an additional exploratory petroleum oil/gas well at an existing well pad authorized by Unclassified CUP No. 3420 on a 1.6 acre portion of a 315.15-acre parcel in the AC-20 Zone District in Fresno County (near the community of Five Points)] Pursuant to the provisions of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), [an/a Environmental Impact Report (EIR) or a Negative Declaration (ND), or a Mitigated Negative Declaration (MND)] was prepared and ["certified" if an EIR or "adopted" if ND/MND] by the Lead Agency, lead agency name, for the Project Title and SCH #xxxxxx. The [EIR or ND/MND] was approved on date. An electronic copy of the final environmental document, including any Response To Comments, MMRP, Statement of Overriding Considerations, Findings, and all other related documents, as of insert date here, may be accessed online at: webpage link or by contacting the local Lead Agency planning department.</p> | |
| Lead Agency Environmental Document Title | |

² "CEQA Document Approved Project" or "Approved Project" refers to the project that is presented in the Certified EIR or the Adopted ND/MND. The terms "CEQA Document" and "Environmental Document" may be used interchangeably throughout this form.

| | |
|--|--|
| CEQA Lead Agency | Lead Agency Name |
| | Mailing Address |
| | Contact, Job Title |
| | Email |
| | Phone Number |
| State Clearinghouse | SCH # |
| Document Type & Date (of document certification, NOD posting, or document finalization) | <input type="checkbox"/> Certified EIR Date: |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Adopted ND/MND Date: |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Certified SED (Substitute Environmental Document) Date: |

PROJECT DESCRIPTIONS

Compare the proposed permit activity to the project description in the Lead Agency's environmental document. [This section is to assist with determining whether the proposed permit activity is within the scope of the environmental document.](#)

| | |
|---|--|
| <p>1. Does the proposed permit activity include a change in location of well site, increased number of wells, change in use of existing wells, or a different use than what was approved in the Lead Agency's environmental document?</p> <p>Explain here</p> <p>Yes. The proposed permit activity is a rework that will convert two existing wells from oil and gas production to one UIC well and one observation well. UIC and observation wells are not described in the environmental document.</p> <p>No. The proposed permit activity is a rework to install and test blowout preventor, pull tubing out of hole, running casing inspection logs, running new 5-1/2" tubing and packer in hole, pressure test casing. The rework of the existing wells is contemplated in the MND. See Executive Summary pages 2-1 to 2-24. No changes in use to the existing use of the wells are being proposed. The rework will ensure compliance with revised regulations (14 CCR § 1726 et seq.) to enhance the safety of UGS projects.</p> | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No |
| <p>2. Does the location and boundaries of the proposed permit activity fall outside the boundaries indicated in the environmental document?</p> <p>Explain here</p> <p>No. Figure 2.3-2's map on page 2-7 documents the location of the well pads and well sites, and the boundary of the gas storage field area. Proposed wells were located by API number on the CalGEM's WellFinder application and a review of the area was conducted. All four wells proposed for rework are on an existing pad within the boundaries indicated in the environmental document.</p> | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No |
| <p>3. Did the permittee/applicant upload a local land use authorization permit to WellSTAR (Tab 10), to perform the proposed permit activity? A copy of the local land use authorization must be uploaded to WellSTAR with the Notice of Intention. (PRC § 3203.5 (a)(b)).</p> <p>Explain here</p> | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No |

| | | |
|--|---|--|
| <p>This question is to identify any prior approvals, confirm the operator has local land use authorization to perform the permit activity, and determine whether the applicant must obtain any other approvals before performing the proposed permit activity. See PRC §3203.5 (a)(b)).</p> <p>No. The proposed permit activity is to rework a well. The Lead Agency is Los Angeles County, and the County does not issue permits for a rework.</p> <p>No. The CPUC approved the UGS facility project. The local lead agency is Madera County. Madera county does not issue permits for the rework of a well. Consistent with MND Section 2.4, the applicant must submit to CalGEM for approval the necessary notice of intention to rework an existing well associated with the UGS facility project.</p> | | |
| 4. Does the environmental document analyze the project and its impacts over a specific period of time? | | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No |
| 5. Has the time period evaluated under the environmental document elapsed? | | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No |
| Source and Quantity of Water for the Project | 6. Does the project description of the proposed permit activity list the quantity and/or source of water for the Proposed Permit Activity? If so, what is the water source name? a. Quantity of water: b. Surface water source name: c. Groundwater source name: | |
| | 7. Does the project description of the CEQA document list the quantity and/or source of water for the Approved Project? If so, what is the water source name? a. Quantity of water: b. Surface water source name: c. Groundwater source name: | |
| | 8. Are the water quantities and sources listed in the Proposed Permit Activity and the CEQA Document Approved Project the same? <input type="checkbox"/> Check box if negligible water use is anticipated | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No |
| <p>9. Is the proposed permit activity (e.g., an NOI or Project Approval Letter) within the scope of the Lead Agency's environmental document?</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes Add a brief description of the proposed permitting activity as it relates to the environmental document. Identify and cite the page number(s) where the proposed permitting activity can be found in the CEQA document.</p> <p>The proposed permitting activity, a rework, is addressed in the MND, Executive Summary pgs. 2-1 to 2-24.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> No A Supplemental or Subsequent CEQA document may be required.</p> | | |

| UIC (Additional Information) | | |
|-------------------------------|---|--|
| Groundwater Protection | Has the application for injection approval been submitted to the State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB)? | Submitted <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No Concurrence <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No |

| |
|------------------------------|
| ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING |
|------------------------------|

Review the aerial imagery and topographical map in WellSTAR and/or other online maps that show current conditions of the proposed permit activity area. Compare these to the environmental setting and approved project described in the Lead Agency's environmental document.

1. Is the environmental setting and proposed development of the approved project site in the Lead Agency document consistent with the environmental setting described in the proposed permit activity? Considerations include, but are not limited to:
- a. Operational characteristics of the oilfield, processing equipment, transportation, etc.; and
 - b. Proposed development of well pads, roads, pipelines, attendant facilities, etc.

☐ Yes ☐ No

Explain and reference the page numbers where the information is located.

2. Has there been a change in the proposed permit activity area since certification or adoption of the environmental document regarding:
- a. Location and development of access roads, wellpads, pipelines, attendant facilities, etc.;
 - b. Footprint or density of the project area;
 - c. New vegetation;
 - d. Sensitive environmental features, including streams and undisturbed areas; or
 - e. Urban development in the project vicinity

☐ Yes ☐ No

Explain and reference the page numbers where the information is located.

Yes. Aerial imagery shows the addition of two roads and fencing around the project site that were not described in the lead agency's environmental document. However, no sensitive environmental features were identified. Existing well pads were constructed in accordance with the MND. The existing wells proposed for rework were located by API on the WellFinder application and reviews were conducted to determine their location on an existing pad. Information regarding the well sites is publicly available on WellFinder /WellSTAR mapping.

3. If there have been changes in the proposed permit activity area, would they necessitate additional analysis?

☐ Yes ☐ No

Explain and reference the page numbers where the information is located.

No, the conditions appear to be like the ones in 2010 when the EIR was certified. There is no new habitat for T&E species or the addition of sensitive receptors such as housing, parks, or offices in the area.

Yes. There are a few trees in the project area that were not present when the EIR was certified in 2010. These trees may provide habitat for listed bird species that wasn't analyzed in 2010, because the trees were not there at the time.

4. Is there a difference between the threatened, endangered, and rare species listed in the environmental document and the current list of threatened, endangered, and rare species found in the proposed permit activity area?

If the operator did not provide a recent list of threatened, endangered, and rare species found in the proposed permit activity area or biological survey of the proposed permit activity area, conduct a query report within a 5 mile radius of the well location, using the California Natural Diversity Database (CNDDDB) for an analysis and the administrative record. Cite the source of information in the explanation below.

☐ Yes ☐ No

Explain and reference the page numbers where the information is located.

Yes. Biological information is located within the MND dated 2009. See Section 3.5 (Biological Resources) and Appendix C. No additional information was provided. California Natural Diversity Database (CNDDDB) data is available for this field and the query includes only the swainsoni hawk (*Buteo swainsoni*, state threatened), for this field, which was addressed in the MND. In addition, the rework will take place on an existing well pad with no proposed disturbance. See page 3.5-32 ("Operation and maintenance activities [reworks] would not result in significant impacts to any sensitive plant or wildlife community with implementation of these Mitigation Measures.")

IMPACT ANALYSIS (Review of Lead Agency CEQA Document)

Environmental Checklist (Appendix G), Mitigation Measures, and MMRP

Impacts as they relate to the applicant's proposed permit activity.

EIRs - Where Statements of Overriding Consideration are made for significant impacts that cannot be fully mitigated (1) state whether mitigation measures were applied under each environmental topic, (2) state whether the impact can or cannot be mitigated to a less than significant level, and (3) complete the Written Findings of Significance Form at the end of this section.

ND/MND/EIRs - If an environmental document is missing an impact analysis section because the CEQA Guidelines and Appendix G did not require the impact be separately analyzed when the document was adopted/certified, explain whether the impact was discussed in the environmental document and reference the example language in the Energy section to determine if the proposed permit activity will have a significant impact beyond those addressed in the environmental document.

Are there any significant impacts, or less than significant with mitigation, identified from the proposed permit activity that were not addressed or may not be covered by the mitigations outlined in the Lead Agency's environmental document for the following areas? Please provide explanations for any 'Yes' responses.

AESTHETICS:

☐ No ☐ Yes

[Explain here](#)

**AGRICULTURE AND
FORESTRY
RESOURCES:**

☐ No ☐ Yes

[Explain here](#)

AIR QUALITY:

☐ No ☐ Yes

[Explain here](#)

**BIOLOGICAL
RESOURCES:**

☐ No ☐ Yes

[Explain here](#)

**CULTURAL
RESOURCES:**

☐ No ☐ Yes

[Explain here](#)

| | |
|---|--|
| ENERGY: | <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes Explain here <p>Energy was not examined in the MND as a separate category. However, energy use was discussed in Section XX of the MND. Furthermore, as the NOI's activity is a rework that would use very little energy, and none above current baseline activities, there would be no increase in the severity of the impact as analyzed in the MND.</p> <p>The environmental document was prepared before Appendix G was amended to add this section. Amendments to the guidelines only apply prospectively. Subsequent changes to the guidelines are not new information triggering subsequent review, so long as the underlying environmental issue was understood at the time the environmental document was prepared.</p> |
| GEOLOGY AND SOILS: | <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes Explain here |
| GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS: | <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes Explain here |
| HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS: | <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes Explain here |
| HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY: | <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes Explain here |
| LAND USE AND PLANNING: | <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes Explain here |
| MINERAL RESOURCES: | <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes Explain here |
| NOISE: | <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes Explain here |
| POPULATION AND HOUSING: | <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes Explain here |
| PUBLIC SERVICES: | <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes Explain here |

| | |
|--|--|
| RECREATION: | <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes Explain here |
| TRANSPORTATION: | <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes Explain here |
| TRIBAL CULTURAL RESOURCES: | <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes Explain here |
| UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS: | <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes Explain here |
| WILDFIRE: | <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes Explain here |
| MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE (for ND/MND/EIR. 14 CCR § 15065): Does CalGEM concur with the findings in the Lead Agency's environmental document? <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes Explain here | |
| WRITTEN FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE (for EIRs only; PRC § 21081, 14 CCR § 15091(a)(1)-(3)): When reviewing an EIR, both responsible and lead agencies must make express written findings (15091(a)(1)-(3)). Fill out this section once RA FOS Form is complete. Completed FOS Form should be filed in the project folder's administrative record folder. Did CalGEM prepare written findings of significance? <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes If yes, complete the appropriate Findings of Significance Template and file it in the proposed Project's administrative record. | |
| Subsequent EIRs and Negative Declarations (PRC § 21166, 14 CCR § 15162) <u>(Note that any decisions made under these provisions must be made on the basis of substantial evidence in light of the whole record.)</u> Does the proposed activity result in substantial changes that will require major revisions due to the involvement of new significant effects? (14 CCR § 15162(a)(1)) <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes If yes, explain here Do any substantial changes in circumstances require major revisions in the environmental document due to new significant effects or substantial increases in severity of previously identified significant effects? (14 CCR § 15162(a)(2)) <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes If yes, explain here | |

Does any new information of substantial importance show significant effects not previously discussed or more severe than previously shown requiring reevaluation of mitigation measures or alternatives? (14 CCR § 15162(a)(3))

☐ No ☐ Yes [If yes, explain here](#)

MITIGATION REVIEW

| | |
|--|---|
| Additional Mitigation Measures Required | Does the proposed permit activity require additional Mitigation Measures to reduce significant impacts? <input type="checkbox"/> No additional impacts. The 2010 MND's MMRP addresses potential impacts of the NOI(s) proposed activity. <input type="checkbox"/> Yes See RA SOP for next steps |
|--|---|

REVIEW CONCLUSIONS

The California Geologic Energy Management Division (CalGEM), as the Responsible Agency under CEQA, conducts an environmental review limited to only those project impacts, which are required to be carried out or approved by CalGEM, or which will be subject to the exercise of powers by CalGEM. In conducting the environmental review, CalGEM may rely on the Lead Agency's environmental document and considers the environmental impacts. Additionally, the CEQA Guidelines Environmental Checklist (Appendix G) was consulted during the environmental review to assist CalGEM in its determination as to whether there are any additional environmental impacts beyond those already determined by the Lead Agency in its CEQA document.

| | |
|--|--|
| Consideration of the Lead Agency Environmental Document | <p>As a Responsible Agency under CEQA, CalGEM evaluated and considered the environmental impacts, as shown in the environmental document prepared by the Lead Agency, during the environmental review of the proposed permit activity. Based on the results of this review, CalGEM has reached its own conclusions, as follows:</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> CalGEM relies on the Lead Agency's document, as it pertains to the proposed permit activity.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> The Lead Agency's document is insufficient to rely on for the purposes of CalGEM's CEQA review of the proposed permit activity. CEQA Program staff shall refer the proposed project to the environmental document preparation unit to determine next steps.</p> |
|--|--|

SIGNATURES AND DATES COMPLETED

| | | |
|--------------|------------------------------------|---|
| Prepared by: | DocuSign Signature | Date: Date completed |
| | Title | |

| | |
|--|--|
| | California Geologic Energy Management Division |
| Quality Assurance and Quality Control Officer: | <div>DocuSign Signature</div> <div>Date:</div> <div>Date reviewed</div> |
| | <div>Title</div> <div>California Geologic Energy Management Division</div> |

Attachment 1.

Complete Table 1 to determine if the number and types of wells in the proposed by the NOI(s) are covered by the Lead Agency's Environmental Document. **Delete this table if there are no new drills in the proposed permit activity.**

Table 1. New Drill Well Number Worksheet (enter CEQA project name here)

| Line # | | Total # of wells in Row | Type of Well # | Type of Well # | Type of Well # | Type of Well # |
|--------|---|-------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| 1. | Number of New Wells proposed by the NOI(s) | | | | | |
| 2. | Number of Wells Analyzed in Lead Agency's Environmental Document | | | | | |
| 3. | Number of Wells that CalGEM has Permitted Under the Lead Agency's Environmental Document | | | | | |
| 4. | Add lines 1 & 3, enter number in this row. Note: If the result is equal to or less than the number in Line 2, then the Lead Agency's Environmental Document may cover the number of wells in the proposed by the NOI(s). | | | | | |

Example.

Project OG Go Oil 042023-201. The NOI(s) are for a total of 10 new oil wells: 9 oil and gas wells and 1 UIC well. CalGEM has permitted 38 new oil and gas wells and 3 UIC wells under the Lead Agency's environmental document. Lead Agency environmental document analyzed the impacts from the drilling of 200 new wells in Sky Oil Field; 190 oil and gas wells and 10 UIC wells.

Completed Example of Table 1. OG Drilling Co 072023-204

| Line # | | Total # of wells in Row | Oil & Gas Well # | UIC Wells # | GEO Low-temp # | GEO High-temp # |
|--------|--|-------------------------|------------------|-------------|----------------|-----------------|
| 1. | Number of New Wells proposed by the NOI(s) | 10 | 9 | 1 | | |
| 2. | Number of Wells Analyzed in Lead Agency's Environmental Document | 200 | 190 | 10 | | |
| 3. | Number of Wells that CalGEM has Permitted Under the Lead Agency's Environmental Document | 41 | 38 | 3 | | |
| 4. | Add lines 1 & 3, enter number in this row. Note: If the result is equal to or less than the number in Line 2, then the Lead Agency's Environmental Document may cover the number of wells proposed by the NOI(s). | 51 | 47 | 4 | | |

Attachment 2.

Note: Okay to refer to attachment (file name in administrative record) in lieu of filling out the table below.

Delete this table if there are no UIC wells in the project.

Table 1. UIC Well Information UIC# XXXXXXXX

| Well Name | Existing or Proposed | Planned Use WD, SF, WF | Latitude | Longitude |
|-----------|----------------------|---------------------------|----------|-----------|
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |